1951

NEW HAMPSHIRE LAW LIBRARY

Feb. 6

SEP 03 1998

CONCORD, N.H.

Fonerable Perkins Bass Reaso of Peprosentatives Conserd, New Hemschire

Penr Mr. Dagg:

Tou have inquired if the provisions of R.D. 732, on not relating to authority and duties of police employees, are in violation of Port Second, Article 6-a of the New Hampshire Constitution.

Under the emisting low the state pelice our charged with the duty of potrolling the highways and are also given the peneral never to enferce all criminal laws of the state. Art. 6-a of the U.M. Canctitution, rabotal in 1933, provides that revenue comming to the highway fund from contain charges and from the read tell on procline can be seent only for specified purposes, among which is included the supervision of traffic. To the extent, therefore, that the cost of maintaining the state police is incurred by the patrolling of the highways and the enforcement of traffic laws and regulations, such emerditures are a legitimate charge on the highway fund and are in accordance with the previsions of our Constitution.

H.B. \$32 in no way changes the duties of the state police in this regard. It is my conclusion, therefore, that the appropriation for the state police, to the extent that the state police facilities are used in patrolling the highways, will continue to be a constitutional charge upon the highway fund as it has been in the post. In my epinion none of the provisions of the proposed bill would be a violation of the Constitution in this regard.

Vory truly yours.

Gordon H. Tiffeny Attornoy Gonoral